

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,891	/936,891 10/19/2001		Emine Capan	P/2107-181	2941
2352	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS				KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
	NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/936,891 CAPAN ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit 1623 Ganapathy Krishnan -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on \_ (a) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_ ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_\_. (b) A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1:113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_\_\_\_. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. A The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: James a Wilson see interview summary Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Asked Mr. Farley if he is willing to amend claims in the instant application and the copending application 10/340901 that might put them in a condition for allowance. Mr. Farley said that they have decided to let the instant application go abandoned and was willing to fax a letter of Express Abandonment.

P/2107-181

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

New York, New York

Emine CAPAN, et al.

Date: January 5, 2005

Serial No.: 09/936,891

Group Art Unit: 1623

Filed: October 19, 2001

Examiner: G. Krishman

For:

CATALYTIC PROCESS FOR MODIFYING CARBOHYDRATES, ALCOHOLS,

ALDEHYDES OR POLYHYDROXY COMPOUNDS

Mail Stop Express Abandonment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## EXPRESS ABANDONMENT

Sir:

Applicant expressly abandons the above-identified application without prejudice to the invention disclosed therein.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 at (571) 273-0654, on January 5, 2005:

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Farley Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Signature

January 5, 2005

Date of Signature

Registration No.: 33,170

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

MAF:swu

00683102.1